APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,141	08/22/2003	Chi-Jung Huang	252011-1200	8945

47390   7590   06/26/2008
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY LLP
600 GALLERIA PARKWAY, 15TH FLOOR
ATLANTA, GA 30339

EXAMINER
IWARERE, OLUSEYE

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3687	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
06/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/646,141	HUANG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	OLUSEYE IWARERE	3687	

-- *The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address* --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 March 2008</u>.

2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.   2b) ☐ This action is non-final.

3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.

    4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.

7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08/22/2003</u> is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

    a) ☐ All   b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:

      1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

      2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

      3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

    * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____

5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1.   This communication is in response to the correspondence sent on March 15,

2008. The remarks have been considered below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2.   The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

> The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly
> claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3.   Claims 1, 9 and 17 recites the limitation "the production capacity" in lines 5.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

4.   Claims 1, 9 and 17 recites the limitation "the un used capacity" in lines 11, 11

and 15. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

5.   Claim 1, 9 and 17 recites the limitation "the corresponding products" in lines 14,

14 and 17. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

6.   Claim 25 recites the limitation "the factory facilities" in line 4. There is insufficient

antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1.   The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

> A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –
>
> (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public
> use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United
> States.

2.   **Claims 1 – 7, 9 – 15 and 17 – 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being**

anticipated by Kaneko et al. (2001/0020230).

        As per claims 1, Kaneko discloses, a computer-implemented method of

matching customer demand with a manufacturer supply of products from plurality of

factory facilities ([0085] the embodiment can easily be applied to a form in which a

plurality of locations are connected, if in each one of the connected steps, a scheme

planning process described below is sequentially repeated), comprising using a

computer to perform the steps of:

        inputting demand data for a demand of at least one product requested by at least

one customer ([0010] The demand-supply steps include production or sales steps that

receive an order for purchase, which is construed as demand data) and supply data

corresponding to the production capacity of the factory facilities ([0010] and supply a

product or parts, and further include service provision steps that receive an order for,

place an order for, purchase and supply services);

        performing a first matching operation to match the demand data with the supply

data to obtain a first demand-supply matching result ([0062] After the sales schemes

are inputted, the CPU 42 executes a process of calculating a total of orders for each

product placed from each of the sales steps 102, 104 to the product-producing steps

112, 114 (amount of order placed), separately for each sale step (step S104). The

amount of order placed by each sales step is determined by subtracting a deviation

between the input stock record and the sales scheme for the sales step from the stock

target value data stored for the sale step in the hard disk 64 functioning as the data

storage portion 22. [amount of order placement=stock target value-(stock record-sales

scheme)]. The calculation is construed as matching the data);

collecting rematched demand data corresponding to a portion of the demand

unsatisfied by the first matching operation from the demand data and collecting

rematched supply data corresponding to a portion of the unused capacity in the first

matching operation from the supply data ([0066]If the result of determination is negative,

that is, if any one of the initially distributed amounts of orders placed is not within the

corresponding order receivable range of the product-producing step, the CPU 42

executes a process of adjusting the distribution of the initially distributed amounts of

order placement (step S112));

classifying the rematched demand data into a plurality of classified demand data

records according to at least one attribute of the corresponding products and customers

([0066] This process is executed by a distribution adjusting process routine exemplified

in FIG. 7. When the distribution adjusting process routine is executed, the CPU 42

calculates adjustments 1, 2, 3, and calculates profitability indexes obtained by the

adjustments 1-3 (step S200-S210)), the classified demand data having different

priorities ([0097] The main location designation rule is a rule in which the post-steps are

assigned with priorities as restricting conditions, and orders from the post-steps are

processed in the order of descending priorities of the post-steps to supply vehicles or

the like to the post-steps); and

performing a second matching operation to match the classified demand data
with the rematched supply data based on the priorities of the classified demand data to
obtain a second demand-supply matching result ([0066] Subsequently, the CPU 42
selects from the adjustments 1-3 an adjustment that achieves the greatest profitability
index (step S212), and then ends the routine) ([0097] The main location designation rule
is a rule in which the post-steps are assigned with priorities as restricting conditions,
and orders from the post-steps are processed in the order of descending priorities of the
post-steps to supply vehicles or the like to the post-steps).

As per claim 2, 10, 18 and 27, Kaneko discloses, wherein the first matching
operation step further comprises the steps of:

recording the first demand-supply matching result; and updating the demand
data and the supply data according to the first demand-supply matching result ([0031]
As shown in FIG. 1, the demand-supply scheme planning apparatus 20 has, as function
blocks, a data storage portion 22 for storing various kinds of data regarding each step,
which is construed as recording, a data input portion 24 for inputting a value of stock
record in each step, sales schemes in sales steps, etc., an index calculating portion 26
for calculating a profitability index, which is construed as updating).

As per claim 3, 11, 19 and 28, Kaneko discloses, wherein the second matching
operation step further comprises the steps of:

recording the second demand-supply matching result; and updating the demand

data and the supply data according to the second demand-supply matching result

([0031] As shown in FIG. 1, the demand-supply scheme planning apparatus 20 has, as

function blocks, a data storage portion 22 for storing various kinds of data regarding

each step, which is construed as recording, a data input portion 24 for inputting a value

of stock record in each step, sales schemes in sales steps, etc., an index calculating

portion 26 for calculating a profitability index, which is construed as updating).

As per claim 4, 12, 20 and 29, Kaneko discloses, wherein the demand data is

defined by creating a demand table, the demand table characterizing the relationship

between customer demand, the factory facilities, and manufacturing processes for the

product as provided thereby ([0039] The operation of the demand-supply scheme

planning apparatus 20 will next be described. FIG. 3 is a construction diagram showing

an example of a supply chain as a specific example in which a demand-supply scheme

is planned by the demand-supply scheme planning apparatus 20).

As per claim 5, 13, 21 and 30, Kaneko discloses, wherein the supply data is

defined by creating a supply table, the supply table characterizing the relationship

between the factory facilities, the capacity and manufacturing processes provided by the

factory facilities ([0039] As shown in FIG. 3, the supply chain includes two sales step

102, 104 as dealers that sell products A, B, C, D, product-producing steps 112, 114 as

product-producing factories each of which produces some of the products A, B, C, D,

and supplies produced products to the two sales steps 102, 104, parts-producing steps

122, 124 as parts-producing factories each of which produces some of parts a1, b1, c1,

d1 for producing the products A, B, C, D and supplies).

    As per claim 6, 14, 22 and 31, Kaneko discloses, wherein the rematched

demand data is defined by creating a rematched demand table that characterizes the

relationship between unsatisfied demand, the factory facilities, and manufacturing

processes for the product as provided thereby ([0085] FIG. 14 is a block diagram

illustrating a production scheme planning system according to the embodiment. FIG. 14

illustrates only a step concerned and its preceding and succeeding steps for the sake of

convenience, where only one pre-step and only one post-step are shown. However, the

embodiment can easily be applied to a form in which a plurality of locations are

connected, if in each one of the connected steps, a scheme planning process described

below is sequentially repeated, or a process of collecting or distributing information

exchanged with the pre-step and the post-step is executed).

    As per claim 7, 15, 23 and 32, Kaneko discloses, wherein the rematched supply

data is defined by creating a plurality of rematched supply tables, the rematched supply

tables characterizing the available factory facilities of the manufacturing processes, the

manufacturer preferred factory facilities under cost consideration, and the customer

preferred factory facilities ([0085] FIG. 14 is a block diagram illustrating a production

scheme planning system according to the embodiment. FIG. 14 illustrates only a step

concerned and its preceding and succeeding steps for the sake of convenience, where

only one pre-step and only one post-step are shown. However, the embodiment can easily be applied to a form in which a plurality of locations are connected, if in each one of the connected steps, a scheme planning process described below is sequentially repeated, or a process of collecting or distributing information exchanged with the pre-step and the post-step is executed).

As per claim 9, Kaneko discloses, a storage medium for storing a computer program providing a method of matching customer demand with a manufacturer supply of products from plurality of factory facilities ([0085] the embodiment can easily be applied to a form in which a plurality of locations are connected, if in each one of the connected steps, a scheme planning process described below is sequentially repeated), comprising using a computer to perform the steps of:

inputting demand data for a demand of at least one product requested by at least one customer (0010] The demand-supply steps include production or sales steps that receive an order for purchase, which is construed as demand data) and supply data corresponding to the production capacity of the factory facilities ([0010] and supply a product or parts, and further include service provision steps that receive an order for, place an order for, purchase and supply services);

performing a first matching operation to match the demand data with the supply data to obtain a first demand-supply matching result ([0062] After the sales schemes are inputted, the CPU 42 executes a process of calculating a total of orders for each product placed from each of the sales steps 102, 104 to the product-producing steps

112, 114 (amount of order placed), separately for each sale step (step S104). The amount of order placed by each sales step is determined by subtracting a deviation between the input stock record and the sales scheme for the sales step from the stock target value data stored for the sale step in the hard disk 64 functioning as the data storage portion 22. [amount of order placement=stock target value-(stock record-sales scheme)]. The calculation is construed as matching the data);

    collecting rematched demand data corresponding to a portion of the demand unsatisfied by the first matching operation from the demand data and collecting rematched supply data corresponding to a portion of the unused capacity in the first matching operation from the supply data ([0066]If the result of determination is negative, that is, if any one of the initially distributed amounts of orders placed is not within the corresponding order receivable range of the product-producing step, the CPU 42 executes a process of adjusting the distribution of the initially distributed amounts of order placement (step S112));

    classifying the rematched demand data into a plurality of classified demand data records according to at least one attribute of the corresponding products and customers ([0066] This process is executed by a distribution adjusting process routine exemplified in FIG. 7. When the distribution adjusting process routine is executed, the CPU 42 calculates adjustments 1, 2, 3, and calculates profitability indexes obtained by the adjustments 1-3 (step S200-S210)), the classified demand data having different priorities ([0097] The main location designation rule is a rule in which the post-steps are assigned with priorities as restricting conditions, and orders from the post-steps are

processed in the order of descending priorities of the post-steps to supply vehicles or

the like to the post-steps); and

performing a second matching operation to match the classified demand data

with the rematched supply data based on the priorities of the classified demand data to

obtain a second demand-supply matching result ([0066] Subsequently, the CPU 42

selects from the adjustments 1-3 an adjustment that achieves the greatest profitability

index (step S212), and then ends the routine) ([0097] The main location designation rule

is a rule in which the post-steps are assigned with priorities as restricting conditions,

and orders from the post-steps are processed in the order of descending priorities of the

post-steps to supply vehicles or the like to the post-steps).

As per claim 17, Kaneko discloses, a system for matching customer demand

with a manufacturer supply of a product from a plurality of factory facilities ([0085] the

embodiment can easily be applied to a form in which a plurality of locations are

connected, if in each one of the connected steps, a scheme planning process described

below is sequentially repeated), comprising:

a match database ([0100] The order placement scheme processing portion 1033

plans an order placement scheme based on the production scheme planned by the

production scheme processing portion 1032, by referring to a database of components),

storing demand data for a demand of at least one product requested by at least one

customer and supply data corresponding to the production capacity of the factory

facilities ([0031] As shown in FIG. 1, the demand-supply scheme planning apparatus 20

has, as function blocks, a data storage portion 22 for storing various kinds of data

regarding each step);

a rematch database ([0100] The order placement scheme processing portion

1033 plans an order placement scheme based on the production scheme planned by

the production scheme processing portion 1032, by referring to a database of

components), storing rematched demand data and rematched supply data ([0031] As

shown in FIG. 1, the demand-supply scheme planning apparatus 20 has, as function

blocks, a data storage portion 22 for storing various kinds of data regarding each step);

a customer interface, enabling input of the demand data ([0030] a keyboard

interface 56 connected to a keyboard 58 and a mouse 60, an input/output interface 62

connected to a hard disk 64 and a floppy disk 66, etc.);

a factory interface, enabling input of the supply data ([0030] a keyboard interface

56 connected to a keyboard 58 and a mouse 60, an input/output interface 62 connected

to a hard disk 64 and a floppy disk 66, etc); and

a controller computer, coupled to the match database, the rematch database, the

customer interface, and the factory interface ([0030] As shown in FIG. 2, the demand-

supply scheme planning apparatus 20 has a hardware construction formed as a

general-purpose computer that is formed by a CPU 42 that is a central processing unit,

as a central component. A bus 44 connected to the CPU 42 is connected to a cache

memory 46, a cache controller 48, a main memory 50, a graphics controller 52

connected to a CRT 54),

performing a first matching operation to match the demand data with the supply data to obtain a first demand-supply matching result ([0066] Subsequently, the CPU 42 selects from the adjustments 1-3 an adjustment that achieves the greatest profitability index (step S212), and then ends the routine),

collecting rematched demand data corresponding to a portion of the demand unsatisfied in the first matching operation from the demand data and collecting rematched supply data corresponding to a portion of the unused capacity in the first matching operation from the supply data ([0066] If the result of determination is negative, that is, if any one of the initially distributed amounts of orders placed is not within the corresponding order receivable range of the product-producing step, the CPU 42 executes a process of adjusting the distribution of the initially distributed amounts of order placement (step S112)),

classifying the rematched demand data into a plurality of classified demand data records according to attributes of the corresponding products and customers [0066] This process is executed by a distribution adjusting process routine exemplified in FIG. 7. When the distribution adjusting process routine is executed, the CPU 42 calculates adjustments 1, 2, 3, and calculates profitability indexes obtained by the adjustments 1-3 (step S200-S210)), the classified demand data having different priorities ([0097] The main location designation rule is a rule in which the post-steps are assigned with priorities as restricting conditions, and orders from the post-steps are processed in the order of descending priorities of the post-steps to supply vehicles or the like to the post-steps), and

performing a second matching operation to match the classified demand data

with the rematched supply data based on the priorities of the classified demand data to

obtain a second demand-supply matching result ([0066] Subsequently, the CPU 42

selects from the adjustments 1-3 an adjustment that achieves the greatest profitability

index (step S212), and then ends the routine) ([0097] The main location designation rule

is a rule in which the post-steps are assigned with priorities as restricting conditions,

and orders from the post-steps are processed in the order of descending priorities of the

post-steps to supply vehicles or the like to the post-steps).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3.   The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set
> forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and
> the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the
> invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.
> Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4.   The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148

USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining

obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

    1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
    2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
    3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
    4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating
        obviousness or nonobviousness.

5.   **Claims 8, 16, 24, 25 – 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being**

unpatentable over Kaneko et al. (2001/0020230) in view of Menninger (6,954,736).

As per claims 8, 16, 24 and 33, Kaneko discloses the claimed invention but fails to explicitly disclose, wherein the attributes of the corresponding products and customers are new customers and manufacturing processes of the product, existing customers and new manufacturing processes of the product, and existing customers and manufacturing processes of the product.

Menninger teaches a system, method and computer program product for order confirmation in a supply chain management framework, with the feature of wherein the attributes of the corresponding products and customers are new customers and manufacturing processes of the product, existing customers and new manufacturing processes of the product, and existing customers and manufacturing processes of the product (col. 16, lines 20 - 26; The advantages of being able to share and update a common data base at the convenience of all users provides enhanced coordination between all participants, which is construed as new and existing customers, improved planning, less over-ordering and product waste, and less time spent managing and coordinating local promotions. For new contracted distributors, daily distributor, which is construed as new and existing manufacturers, invoice feeds can be established.)

From this teaching of Menninger, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the demand-production scheme planning apparatus and storage medium of Kaneko to include the corresponding attributes of taught Menninger in order to evaluate and select new systems.

As per claim 25, Kaneko discloses, an allocation planning module to receive

demand data for a demand of at least one product requested by at least one customer

([0010] The demand-supply steps include production or sales steps that receive an

order for purchase, which is construed as demand data) and supply data corresponding

to production capacity of the factory facilities ([0010] and supply a product or parts, and

further include service provision steps that receive an order for, place an order for,

purchase and supply services);

However, Kaneko fails to disclose a system of demand and capacity

management comprising:

a capacity model having route information for the product, wherein the route

information records a plurality of tools; and a capacity management module to reserve

capacity according to the demand data and the route information.

Menninger teaches a system, method and computer program product for order

confirmation in a supply chain management framework, with the feature of a system of

demand and capacity management (col. 17, lines 34 – 38; further benefits include

reduction in obsolete inventory cost, reduction in lost sales due to shortages, improved

promotional decision making, reduction in supply chain cost through improved inventory

and capacity management), comprising:

a capacity model having route information for the product, wherein the route

information records a plurality of tools (col. 17, lines 50 – 53; leading to predictive

supply chain decisions, which is construed as route information for the product, for the

benefit of manufactures, suppliers, distributors and operators is a major benefit provided

by the present invention) (col. 17, lines 58 – 60; a first set of data collected from a

plurality of stores of the supply chain utilizing a network); and

a capacity management module to reserve capacity according to the demand

data and the route information (col. 129, lines 29 – 31; product supply parameters

corresponding to each supply chain participant are then determined based on

information including the data in operation 11004) (col. 17, lines 60 – 67; the first set of

data relates to an amount of goods sold by the stores. A second set of real-time data is

collected from the stores utilizing the network in operation 1134. The second set of real-

time data relates to the amount of goods sold by the stores. The second set of real-time

data is compared against the forecasting in operation 1136 and the results of the

comparison are fed back for facilitating supply chain management in operation 1138).

From this teaching of Menninger, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary

skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the demand-production

scheme planning apparatus and storage medium of Kaneko to include the system of

demand and capacity management of taught Menninger in order to improve the invoice

system and revenue.

As per claim 26, Kaneko discloses, wherein the allocation planning module

further comprises:

a data input module, inputting the demand data the supply data (0010] The demand-supply steps include production or sales steps that receive an order for purchase, which is construed as demand data);

a first match module, performing a first matching operation to match the demand data with the supply data to obtain a first demand-supply matching result ([0062] After the sales schemes are inputted, the CPU 42 executes a process of calculating a total of orders for each product placed from each of the sales steps 102, 104 to the product-producing steps 112, 114 (amount of order placed), separately for each sale step (step S104). The amount of order placed by each sales step is determined by subtracting a deviation between the input stock record and the sales scheme for the sales step from the stock target value data stored for the sale step in the hard disk 64 functioning as the data storage portion 22. [amount of order placement=stock target value-(stock record-sales scheme)]. The calculation is construed as matching the data);

a rematch data collection module, collecting rematched demand data corresponding to a portion of the demand unsatisfied in the first matching operation from the demand data and collecting rematched supply data corresponding to a portion of the unused capacity in the first matching operation from the supply data ([0066]If the result of determination is negative, that is, if any one of the initially distributed amounts of orders placed is not within the corresponding order receivable range of the product-producing step, the CPU 42 executes a process of adjusting the distribution of the initially distributed amounts of order placement (step S112));

a classification module, classifying the rematched demand data into a plurality of classified demand data records according to attributes of the corresponding products and customers, the classified demand data having different priorities ([0066] This process is executed by a distribution adjusting process routine exemplified in FIG. 7. When the distribution adjusting process routine is executed, the CPU 42 calculates adjustments 1, 2, 3, and calculates profitability indexes obtained by the adjustments 1-3 (step S200-S210)); and

a second match module, performing a second matching operation to match the classified demand data with the rematched supply data based on the priorities of the classified demand data to obtain a second demand-supply matching result ([0066] Subsequently, the CPU 42 selects from the adjustments 1-3 an adjustment that achieves the greatest profitability index (step S212), and then ends the routine).

As per claim 27, Kaneko discloses, wherein the first matching operation step further comprises the steps of:

recording the first demand-supply matching result; and updating the demand data and the supply data according to the first demand-supply matching result ([0031] As shown in FIG. 1, the demand-supply scheme planning apparatus 20 has, as function blocks, a data storage portion 22 for storing various kinds of data regarding each step, which is construed as recording, a data input portion 24 for inputting a value of stock record in each step, sales schemes in sales steps, etc., an index calculating portion 26 for calculating a profitability index, which is construed as updating).

   As per claim 28, Kaneko discloses, wherein the second matching operation step
further comprises the steps of:

   recording the second demand-supply matching result; and updating the demand
data and the supply data according to the second demand-supply matching result
([0031] As shown in FIG. 1, the demand-supply scheme planning apparatus 20 has, as
function blocks, a data storage portion 22 for storing various kinds of data regarding
each step, which is construed as recording, a data input portion 24 for inputting a value
of stock record in each step, sales schemes in sales steps, etc., an index calculating
portion 26 for calculating a profitability index, which is construed as updating).

   As per claim 29, Kaneko discloses, wherein the demand data is defined by
creating a demand table, the demand table characterizing the relationship between
customer demand, the factory facilities, and manufacturing processes for the product as
provided thereby ([0039] The operation of the demand-supply scheme planning
apparatus 20 will next be described. FIG. 3 is a construction diagram showing an
example of a supply chain as a specific example in which a demand-supply scheme is
planned by the demand-supply scheme planning apparatus 20).

   As per claim 30, Kaneko discloses, wherein the supply data is defined by
creating a supply table, the supply table characterizing the relationship between the
factory facilities, the capacity and manufacturing processes provided by the factory

facilities ([0039] As shown in FIG. 3, the supply chain includes two sales step 102, 104

as dealers that sell products A, B, C, D, product-producing steps 112, 114 as product-

producing factories each of which produces some of the products A, B, C, D, and

supplies produced products to the two sales steps 102, 104, parts-producing steps 122,

124 as parts-producing factories each of which produces some of parts a1, b1, c1, d1

for producing the products A, B, C, D and supplies).

As per claim 31, Kaneko discloses, wherein the rematched demand data is

defined by creating a rematched demand table that characterizes the relationship

between unsatisfied demand, the factory facilities, and manufacturing processes for the

product as provided thereby ([0085] FIG. 14 is a block diagram illustrating a production

scheme planning system according to the embodiment. FIG. 14 illustrates only a step

concerned and its preceding and succeeding steps for the sake of convenience, where

only one pre-step and only one post-step are shown. However, the embodiment can

easily be applied to a form in which a plurality of locations are connected, if in each one

of the connected steps, a scheme planning process described below is sequentially

repeated, or a process of collecting or distributing information exchanged with the pre-

step and the post-step is executed).

As per claim 32, Kaneko discloses, wherein the rematched supply data is

defined by creating a plurality of rematched supply tables, the rematched supply tables

characterizing the available factory facilities of the manufacturing processes, the

manufacturer preferred factory facilities under cost consideration, and the customer

preferred factory facilities ([0085] FIG. 14 is a block diagram illustrating a production

scheme planning system according to the embodiment. FIG. 14 illustrates only a step

concerned and its preceding and succeeding steps for the sake of convenience, where

only one pre-step and only one post-step are shown. However, the embodiment can

easily be applied to a form in which a plurality of locations are connected, if in each one

of the connected steps, a scheme planning process described below is sequentially

repeated, or a process of collecting or distributing information exchanged with the pre-

step and the post-step is executed).

Response to Arguments

7.   Applicant's arguments filed March 15, 2008 have been fully considered but they

are not persuasive.

     Applicant argues, "The distribution adjusting process routine of Kaneko teaches

nothing about classifying unsatisfied demand data of claim 1. In addition, Kaneko

teaches nothing about performing a second matching operation on the classified

demand data."

     However, this data is held in a database. Databases store information and by

nature through storage they classify the information that is contained. Therefore, the

data that is inputted is classified. The second matching operation is construed as the

second calculating step in figure 6, S120.Therefore, the Examiner respectfully

disagrees.

"In addition, according to Kaneko (paragraph [0097]), 'The main location designation rule is a rule in which the post-steps are assigned with priorities as restricting conditions, and orders from the post-steps are processed in the order of descending priorities of the post-steps to supply vehicles or the like to the post-steps."

According to Kaneko, post-steps are assigned with priorities. In contrast, according to claim 1, the classified demand data are assigned with different priorities. "

However, the descending priorities are different from one another, therefore the Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Applicant argues, "To one of ordinary skill in the art, neither 'leading to predictive supply chain decisions' (Menninger, col. 17, lines 50-53) nor 'a first set of data collected from a plurality of stores of the supply chain utilizing a network (Menninger, col. 17, 58-60) has anything relevant to do with the claimed "capacity model having route information for the product, wherein the route information records a plurality of tools" of claim 25."

To one of ordinary skill in the art, 'comparing the amount of goods sold by the stores against a forecasting' has nothing relevant to do with "reserving production capacity of the factory facilities according to the demand data and the route information" of claim 25.

However, it is noted in the prior art in col. 53, table 4, which discusses transportation manager that determines routes. Meninnger also discusses capacity

constraints and matching demand with capacity in col. 147, lines 28 – 50. Therefore, the

Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to OLUSEYE IWARERE whose telephone number is

(571)270-5112. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Matthew S. Gart can be reached on (571)272-6790. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Elaine Gort/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3687

OI